# TERPENOIDS FROM EUPHORBIA ANTIQUORUM

### V. ANJANEYULU and K. RAVI

Organic Chemistry Laboratories, School of Chemistry, Andhra University, Waltair-530003, India

(Received in revised form 4 November 1988)

Key Word Index-Euphorbia antiquorum; Euphorbiaceae, terpenoids

Abstract—Three new triterpenoids, namely friedelane- $3\beta$ ,30-diol diacetate, 30-acetoxyfriedelan- $3\beta$ -ol and  $3\beta$ -acetoxyfriedelan-30-ol, together with several known compounds have been isolated from the stems of Euphorbia antiquorum. The structures were elucidated by spectroscopic and chemical methods.

### INTRODUCTION

Euphorbia antiquorum L. is grown throughout the hotter parts of India. All parts of this plant find frequent use in indigenous systems of medicine [1]. Previous investigations on this plant showed the presence of taraxerol and epi-friedelanol in the stem-bark [2], friedelan-3 $\beta$ -ol and 3 $\alpha$ -ol, taraxerol and taraxerone in the stems [3, 4], euphol, euphorbol,  $\beta$ -amyrin, cycloartenol [5] and ingenol type diterpenoids [6, 7] in the latex.

As part of our continued interest in the triterpenoids of *Euphorbia* species, we undertook a systematic re-examination of the stems of *E. antiquorum* with the aim of isolating minor constituents. We now report three new and several known terpenoids from this source.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The column chromatograph of the n-hexane extract of the stems of E. antiquorum yielded three new friedelane derivatives (1-3) and several known compounds. The known compounds were identified as taraxeryl acetate, friedelan-3 $\beta$ -yl acetate, lupeol cinnamate, moretenone, lupenone, taraxerone, friedelan-3 $\beta$ -ol,  $\beta$ -amyrin, lupeol, cycloartenol, euphol, taraxerol, friedelan-3 $\alpha$ -ol,  $\beta$ -sitosterol, the C-24 epimers of cycloart-25-ene-3 $\beta$ ,24-diol [8], cycloartane-3 $\beta$ ,25-diol [9, 10],  $\psi$ -taraxastane-3 $\beta$ ,20-diol [11] and a diterpenoid 7-hydroxyingol-3,12-di-O-acetyl-8-tigliate [12]. Among the known compounds cycloartane-3 $\beta$ ,25-diol and  $\psi$ -taraxastane-3 $\beta$ ,20-diol have been reported for the first time from Euphorbia species.

Compound 1 analysed for  $C_{34}H_{56}O_4$ . Its IR spectrum indicated acetate carbonyl (1730 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Alkaline hydrolysis of compound 1 afforded a new diol (1a). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound 1 showed no olefinic protons and exhibited seven *C*-methyl groups ( $\delta 0.76-1.21$ ), two acetate methyl groups ( $\delta 1.96$  and 1.99), acetoxymethylene protons at  $\delta 3.67$  [moved to  $\delta 3.26$  in the spectrum of the diol (1a)] and an acetoxymethyne proton at  $\delta 4.83$  [moved to  $\delta 3.72$  in the spectrum of the diol (1a)].

These data plus the mass spectral fragmentation pattern (Scheme 1) suggested that compound A was a new

pentacyclic triterpenoid diol diacetate with a friedelane carbon skeleton [13, 14]. Ion a at m/z 399, ion b at m/z 317 and the fragment at m/z 257 (m/z 317 – 60) indicated the secondary acetoxyl group in ring A probably at C-3 and acetoxymethylene in ring D or E. Therefore, the point of attachment of acetoxymethylene is limited-to C-17 or C-20. The possibility that the acetoxymethylene was at C-17 was eliminated and located at C-20 by taking into account the ion **d** at m/z 183 and the low intensity of the fragment at m/z 455 [M-CH<sub>2</sub>OAc]<sup>+</sup> [14]. The base peak at m/z 123 might be formed by the loss of acetic acid from ion d. The two proton singlet at  $\delta 3.67$  in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound A can be assigned to C-20α acetoxymethylene protons [14]. Thus, the structure of Compound 1 was assigned as friedelane- $3\beta$ ,30-diol diacetate (1). This structure was confirmed by oxidation of la with Jones reagent to give a keto acid, identified as polpunonic acid (1b) [15] by direct comparison with an authentic sample.

Compound 2 analysed for  $C_{32}H_{54}O_3$ . Its IR spectrum showed absorption bands at 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> (OH) and 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup> (CO). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed seven C-methyl groups between  $\delta$ 0.88 and 1.21, an acetate methyl group at  $\delta$ 1.98 and a broad signal for three protons at  $\delta$ 3.68 (H-3 and  $H_2$ -30) Compound 2 formed a diacetate [<sup>1</sup>H NMR·  $\delta$ 1.96, 2.0, 3 67 (s,  $H_2$ -30) and 4.82 (br s, H-3)] and on alkaline hydrolysis afforded a diol [<sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 3.25 (s,  $H_2$ -30), 3 70 (br s, H-3)]. The diacetate and the diol of compound 2 were found to be identical with 1 and 1a respectively by direct comparison. Therefore, compound 2 was a friedelane diol monoacetate with a secondary hydroxyl and a primary acetoxyl groups Thus, the structure of compound 2 was assigned as 30-acetoxyfriedelan-3 $\beta$ -ol (2).

Compound 3, analysed for  $C_{32}H_{54}O_3$  and was found to be isomeric with compound 2. The IR spectrum showed absorption bands at  $3450 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  (OH) and  $1735 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  (CO). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed signals for seven C-methyl groups ( $\delta 0.78-121$ ), a secondary acetoxyl [ $\delta 2.04$  and 4.88 (H-3)] and a hydroxymethylene [ $\delta 3.27$  (s,  $H_2$ -30)]. The acetylated and hydrolysed products of Compound 3 were identified as 1 and 1a respectively by direct comparison. Therefore, compound 3 was assigned the structure  $3\beta$ -acetoxyfriedelan-30-ol (3).

It is very interesting to note that although all of the possible acetates of friedelane- $3\beta$ ,30-dol (1a) have been isolated from the *n*-hexane extract of the stems of *E. antiquorum*, we could not detect the presence of the diol 1a itself

### EXPERIMENTAL

Mps: uncorr <sup>1</sup>H·NMR 90 MHz as CDCl<sub>3</sub> soln with TMS as int. standard. Acme's silica gel (100-200 mesh) was used for CC

Isolation procedure. The stems of E antiquorum collected near Ongole in Andhra Pradesh, India were dried and powdered The powder (4 kg) was extracted continuously with n-hexane (bp  $60-80^{\circ}$ ) in a large aspirator bottle. The dark brown n-hexane extract yielded a solid (5 g) which was removed by filtration and sepd into friedelan- $3\beta$ -ol (1 8 g), taraxerol (1.2 g) and friedelan- $3\alpha$ -ol (0 4 g) by CC over silica gel (80 g). The filtrate was evapd under red pres and the resultant gummy residue (35 g) was chromatographed over a column of silica gel (600 g). In all 205

fractions (each 800 ml) were collected and monitored by TLC The CC fractions were as follows: 1 (n-hexane), 2 (n-hexane), 3 (n-hexane-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 19.1), 4 (n-hexane-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 9.1), 5 (n-hexane  $-C_6H_6$ , 4 1), 6 (n-hexane- $C_6H_6$ , 7·3), 7 (n-hexane- $C_6H_6$ , 1 1), 8 (n-hexane- $C_6H_6$ , 1·3), 9 ( $C_6H_6$ ), 10 ( $C_6H_6$ ), 11 ( $C_6H_6$ ), 12  $(C_6H_6-EtOAc, 19 1)$ , 13  $(C_6H_6-EtOAc, 19 1)$ , 14  $(C_6H_6-EtOAc, 19 1)$ EtOAc, 4 1), 15 (EtOAc). Crystallization of fractions 1 and 2 (CHCl3-MeOH) afforded taraxeryl acetate (120 mg) and friedelan-3 $\beta$ -yl acetate (80 mg) respectively. Fraction 3, upon rechromatography over a column of silica gel followed by repeated fractional crystallization (CHCl3-MeOH), yielded lupeol cinnamate (20 mg), moretenone (15 mg), taraxerone (40 mg) and lupenone (10 mg) Fraction 4 gave friedelan-3\beta-ol (2 g). Fraction 5 was acetylated with Ac<sub>2</sub>O-pyridine on a steam-bath for 3 hr The usual work-up followed by fractional crystallization (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH) afforded  $\beta$ -amyrin acetate (1.4 g) and lupeol acetate (600 mg) The residue, on CC, yielded euphyl acetate (160 mg) and cycloartenyl acetate (80 mg) Fractions 6-8 yielded taraxerol (1.2 g), friedelan-3 $\alpha$ -ol (700 mg) and  $\beta$ -sitosterol (2 g) respectively. Fractions 9-11 gave compounds 1 (50 mg), 2 (30 mg) and 3 (35 mg) respectively. Fractions 12-14 afforded the C-24 epimers of cycloart-25-ene-3\(\beta\),24-diol (40 mg), cycloartane- $3\beta$ ,25-diol (20 mg) and  $\psi$ -taraxastane- $3\beta$ ,20-diol (60 mg) respectively Fraction 15 was crystallized from C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> to give colourless crystals identified as a diterpenoid, 7-hydroxyingol-3,12-di-Oacetyl-8-tigliate (450 mg)

Identification of all the known compounds is based on their physical and spectroscopic characteristics (IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and MS) and also by direct comparison with the authentic samples wherever possible

Compound 1 (friedelane-3 $\beta$ ,30-diol diacetate, 1). Crystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH as colourless plates ( $R_f$  0 37 in  $C_6H_6$ ), mp 268–269°, [α]<sub>3</sub><sup>30</sup> + 23.5° (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, c 0 85). (Found C· 77 40, H, 10.52  $C_{34}H_{56}O_4$  requires C, 77 27, H, 10 61%), IR  $v_{max}^{\rm KBF}$  cm  $^{-1}$  1730  $^{1}$ H NMR δ0 76, 0 87, 0 91, 1 02, 1.21 (21H, 7 × Me), 1 96 (3H, s), 1 99 (3H, s), 3.67 (2H, s), 4 83 (1H, br s), MS m/z (rel int.). 528 [M] $^{+}$  (6), 514 (3), 513 (8), 469 (8), 468 [M – AcOH] $^{+}$  (20), 455 [M – CH $_2$ OAc] $^{+}$  (0.4), 454 (5), 453 (12), 399 (1), 346 (2), 331 (2), 318 (16), 317 (30), 292 (13), 286 (3), 278 (88), 275 (7), 263 (2), 257 (5), 231 (31), 217 (19), 267 (18), 205 (10), 203 (18), 189 (24), 183 (17), 177 (48), 175 (26), 161 (19), 149 (32), 147 (43), 145 (24), 135 (43), 123 (100), 109 (81), 107 (62), 95 (84), 81 (67), 67 (36), 55 (38), 43 (86)

Alkaline hydrolysis of compound 1 Compound 1 (25 mg) in  $C_6H_6$  (5 ml) was refluxed with 6% alcoholic KOH for 4 hr on a steam bath. The usual work-up followed by crystallization from CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH afforded colourless needles (1a, 18 mg), mp 294-296°,  $[\alpha]_0^{30} + 13.9^\circ$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, c 1), (Found C, 81 00, H, 11 68  $C_{30}H_{52}O_2$  requires: C, 81 08; H, 11 71%), IR  $v_{max}^{KBr}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> -3500 (br); <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 0 87, 0 97, 1 03, 1 22 (21H, 7 × Me), 3 26 (2H, s), 3.72 (1H, br s)

Jones oxidation of 1a. The diol 1a (10 mg) was dissolved in  $Me_2CO$  (5 ml) and treated with Jones reagent at room temp for 6 hr. The usual work-up followed by crystallization  $(C_6H_6)$  gave colourless crystals (7 mg), mp 260-261°,  $[\alpha]_0^{30}$  -40 2° (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, c 0.6) identical with authentic polynomic acid (1b)

Compound 2 (30-acetoxyfriedelan-3 $\beta$ -ol, 2) Crystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH as colourless plates, ( $R_f$  0.23 in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>), mp 238-240°, [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>0</sub>30° + 27.5° (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, c0.8) (Found. C, 78.92; H, 11.15 C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>54</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires: C, 79.01, H, 11.11%), IR  $\nu$ <sup>KBr</sup><sub>max</sub> cm<sup>-1</sup> 3500, 1730, <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 0.88, 0.94, 1.00, 1.02, 1.21 (21H, 7 × Me), 1.98 (3H, s), 3.68 (3H, br s)

Acetylation of compound 2 Compound 2 (8 mg) was acetylated with Ac<sub>2</sub>O-pyridine (0.5 ml, 1.1) at room temp overnight. The usual work-up followed by crystallization (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH) gave colourless plates (6 mg), mp 266-267° identical with friedelane-3β,30-diol diacetate (1)

Alkaline hydrolysis of compound 2. Compound 2 (10 mg) in  $C_6H_6$  (2 ml) was refluxed with 6% alcoholic KOH soln for 4 hr on a steam bath. The usual work-up followed by crystallization (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH) gave colourless needles, mp 291–293°, identical with friedelane-3 $\beta$ ,30-diol (1a).

Compound 3 (3β-acetoxyfriedelan-30-ol, 3). Crystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH as colourless plates ( $R_f$  0.12 in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>), mp 311-312°, [α] $_0^{30}$  +62.8° (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, c 0.8). (Found: C, 79.12, H, 11.15. C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>54</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires: C, 79.01; H, 11.11%); IR  $_0^{\rm KBr}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. 3450, 1735;  $_0^{1}$ H NMR· δ0.78, 0.88, 0.95, 1.02, 1.21 (21H, 7 × Me), 2.04 (3H, s), 3.27 (2H, s), 4.88 (1H, br s) Compound 3, on acetylation and alkaline hydrolysis as mentioned above, afforded 1 and 1a respectively.

Acknowledgements—We thank Professor J. D. Connolly (Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, U.K.) for providing spectral data of the ingol derivative, Dr A. Sree (R R. L., Bhubaneswar, India), for providing optical rotations for some of the compounds and Dr P A. Ramaiah (Department of Chemistry, A U. P. G Extension Centre, Nuzvid, India) for a gift of the authentic sample of polpunonic acid. One of the authors (K R) is grateful to CSIR, New Delhi, India for the award of a fellowship.

#### REFERENCES

 Kırtıkar, K. R. and Basu, B D. (1933) Indian Medicinal Plants Part III, p. 2202. Lalit Mohan Basu, Allahabad.

- Sengupta, P J. and Ghosh, S. (1964) J. Indian Chem Soc. 41, 198
- 3 Anjaneyulu, V, Nageswara Rao, D and Ramachandra Rao L. (1964) Curr. Sci 583
- Anjaneyulu, V., Nageswara Rao, D. and Ramachandra Row, L. (1967) J. Indian Chem Soc. 44, 123
- 5 Anjaneyulu, V and Ramachandra Row, L (1971) Indian J Chem. 9, 20
- Adolf, W, Chanai, S. and Hecker, E. (1983) J Sci. Soc Thailand 9, 81
- Gotta, H., Adolf, W., Opferkuch, H. J and Hecker, E (1984)
  Naturforsch. B. Anorg. Chem. Org Chem 39B, 683
- Anjaneyulu, V., Sambasiva Rao, G. and Connolly, J. D. (1985) Phytochemistry 24, 1610.
- 9. Djerassi, C and McCrindle, R. (1962) J Chem. Soc. B, 4034.
- Endo, T., Naito, S and Inaba, Y (1970) Yukagaku, 19, 298 and 302.
- Anjaneyulu, V., Harischandra Prasad, K., Ravi, K and Connolly, J D. (1985) Phytochemistry 24, 2359.
- Upadhyay, R. R and Hecker, E (1975) Phytochemistry 14, 2514.
- Budzikiewicz, H, Wilson, J M. and Djerassi, C (1963) J Am. Chem. Soc. 85, 3688.
- 14 Betancor, C, Freir, R, Gonzalez, A. G, Salazar, J. A., Pascand, C and Prange, J. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 1989.
- Ramaiah, P A., Uma Devi, P., Frolow, F. and Lavie, D. (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 2251